UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,322	10/18/2007 David R. Milich		VACCINE-10801	3500
Christine A Lek	7590 05/12/200 cutis	EXAMINER		
MEDLEN & CA		LUCAS, ZACHARIAH		
Suite 350 101 Howard Str	eet	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco,	CA 94105	1648		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/566,32	22	MILICH ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Zachariah	Lucas	1648				
 Period for	 The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply 	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication be to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so ply received by the Office later than three months after the replacement of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no ev n. eriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin III expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)[7] [Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1</u>	18 October 200	7					
•		This action is n						
—	,			secution as to the	e merits is			
•) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	•	• ,					
_		dication						
	Claim(s) 170-181 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to:	and/or election	requirement					
0)[2]	Siami(s) 170-707 are subject to restriction	and/or election	rrequirement.					
Application	on Papers							
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 170-181, drawn to methods for producing an immune response through the administration of a composition comprising a fusion of a hepadnavirus core protein and a heterologous sequence.

Group II, claim(s) 170-181, drawn to methods for producing an immune response through the administration of a composition comprising an expression vector encoding a fusion of a hepadnavirus core protein and a heterologous sequence.

Group III, claim(s) 170-181, drawn to methods for producing an immune response through the administration of a composition comprising an expression vector encoding a fusion of a hepadnavirus core protein and a heterologous sequence, and an expression vector encoding the fusion protein.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature of these inventions is the use of a hepadnaviral core protein fused to a heterologous sequence. Such a construct is taught by Birkett (US 2003/00554337- of record in the October 2007 IDS). See e.g., pages 3, 9, and 10. The inventions therefore lack unity.

Species Election

Art Unit: 1648

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

For each of Groups I-III, Applicant is additionally required to elect embodiments wherein

the hepadnaviral core antigen sequence is from

(a) a rodent hepadnavirus (claims 170-174),

(b) an avihepadnavirua (claims 170-173, and 175),

(c) a human hepatitis B (claims 176-180), or

(d) a non-human primate hepadnavirus (claims 176-179, and 181).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species as listed above.

Art Unit: 1648

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 170 is generic to species (a) and (b). Claim 176 is generic to species (c) and (d).

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species lack unity for the same reasons as indicated with respect to Groups I-III above.

Conclusion

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is (571)272-0905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm.

Art Unit: 1648

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Zachariah Lucas/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648